Writing Guidelines:

Writing will be a factor in evaluation: EMU has adopted a set of writing guidelines for graduate programs that include six sets of criteria: content, structure, rhetoric & style, information literacy, source integrity, and conventions (see page 3). It is expected that graduates will be able to write at least a "good" level with 60% writing at an "excellent" level. For course papers, please follow the APA style, unless otherwise instructed, described in CJP's GUIDELINES for GRADUATE PAPERS. Criteria for Evaluating Arts-Based Peacebuilding Projects can be found at the end of this document.

Academic Integrity Policy (AIP):

EMU faculty and staff care about the integrity of their own work and the work of their students. They create assignments that promote interpretative thinking and work intentionally with students during the learning process. Honesty, trust, fairness, respect, and responsibility are characteristics of a community that is active in loving mercy and doing justice. EMU defines plagiarism as occurring when a person presents as one's own someone else's language, ideas, or other original (not common-knowledge) material without acknowledging its source (Adapted from the Council of Writing Program Administrators). This course will apply EMU's Academic Accountability Policy to any events of academic dishonesty.

Turnitin:

Students are accountable for the integrity of the work they submit. Thus, you should be familiar with EMU's Academic Integrity Policy (see above) in order to meet the academic expectations concerning appropriate documentation of sources. In addition, EMU uses <u>Turnitin</u>, a learning tool and plagiarism prevention system. For CJP classes, you may be asked to submit your papers to Turnitin from Moodle.

Moodle:

<u>Moodle</u> is the online learning platform that EMU has chosen to provide to faculty, administrators and students. Students will have access to course information within Moodle for any class they are registered for in a given term. The amount of time a student has access to information before and after the class is somewhat dependent on the access given to students by the individual faculty member. However, please note that courses are not in Moodle permanently – after two years the class will no longer be accessible. <u>Please be sure to download resources from Moodle that you wish to have ongoing access to.</u>

Grading Scale & Feedback:

Grades will be based on an accumulation of numerical points that will be converted to a letter grade at the end of the course. Each assignment will receive a score expressed as a fraction, with the points received over the total points possible (e.g. 18/20). The following is the basic scale used for evaluation. *Points may be subtracted for missed deadlines*. Graduate students are expected to earn A's and B's. A GPA of 3.0 is the minimum requirement for graduation for MA students and a GPA of 2.75 for Graduate Certificate students.

95-100 = A outstanding 90-94 = A- excellent 85-89 = B+ very good

80-84 = B good 76-79 = B- satisfactory 73-75 = C+ passing

70-72 = C unsatisfactory Below 70 = F failing

Graduate & Professional Studies Writing Center:

Please utilize the <u>writing program</u>. Visit the website to access some basic tutorials, or to contact the writing program staff or library staff for support.

Institutional Review Board (IRB):

All research conducted by or on EMU faculty, staff or students must be reviewed by the <u>Institutional Review Board</u> to assure participant safety.

Office of Academic Access:

If you have a physical, psychological, medical or learning disability that may impact your work in this course, you are welcome to speak with the faculty member teaching the course and they can direct you to the appropriate university resources as needed. Or you may contact the Office of Academic Access directly.

Course Extensions and Outstanding Grades:

All SPI 2025 coursework is due by no later than August 8, 2025. If a student will not be able to complete a course on time, the student must submit a request one week before all coursework is due. If the request is granted the student will receive an "I" (incomplete) for the course which will later be replaced by a final grade when the work has been turned in on the agreed upon date (nothing will be retained on the student's permanent record noting that the course was completed after the initial deadline). If the request for an extension is denied, the student will receive a grade for the work that has been completed up until the time the course was expected to have been completed. If no work or not enough work to pass the class has been submitted, the final grade will be an F (or W under unusual circumstances). Extensions are contracted by the student with the program for up to a maximum of 6 months after the deadline for the course work. In order to request an extension: please email instructor, academic advisor, and Academic Program Coordinator (Alena Yoder; alena.yoder@emu.edu) with the amount of time requested and reason for request.

PLEASE NOTE: Grades for coursework submitted late may be reduced at the instructor's discretion and in line with their course policy on turning in coursework after the due date. If the extension deadline is not met, the instructor will submit the final grade based on what has been received to date.

Under exceptional circumstances, an additional 6-month extension may be granted by special petition to the Academic Program Coordinator, the student's academic advisor and the instructor of the course. To receive this additional extension, a letter of petition is expected with full rationale for the reason unable to finish by the due date and a practical plan on how the student will finish if this extension is permitted. This must be submitted to the above persons at least one week before the end of the first extension. A student is encouraged to use this only when absolutely necessary.

Title IX:

The following <u>Title IX</u> policy applies to any incidents that occur <u>while you are a student registered at EMU.</u> It does not apply if you are talking about incidents that happened prior your enrollment at EMU. It is important for you to know that all faculty and staff members are required to report known or alleged incidents of sexual violence (including sexual assault, domestic/relationship violence, stalking). That means that faculty and staff members cannot keep information about sexual violence confidential if you share that information with them. For example, if you inform a faculty or staff member of an issue of sexual harassment, sexual assault, or discrimination they will keep the information as private as they can, but is required to bring it to the attention of the institution's Title IX Coordinator. You can also report incidents or complaints through the <u>online portal</u>. You may report, confidentially, incidents of sexual violence if you speak to Counseling Services counselors, Campus Ministries' pastors, or Health Services personnel providing clinical care. These individuals, as well as the Title IX Coordinator, can provide you with information on both internal & external support resources. Please refer to the Graduate & Seminary Student Handbook for additional policies, information, and resources available to you.

Inclusive, Community-Creating Language Policy:

Eastern Mennonite University expects all its faculty, staff, and students to adopt inclusive written and spoken language that welcomes everyone regardless of race or ethnicity, gender, disabilities, age, and sexual orientation. We will use respectful and welcoming language in all our official departmental documents and correspondence, including those put forth by way of Internet communication, and throughout all academic coursework, inclusive of classroom presentations and conversations, course syllabi, and both written and oral student assessment materials. We recommend that students review a list of best practices for inclusive language.

Bias Response:

Bias incidents are harmful to the EMU community and/or individuals within the EMU community. Bias can be intentional or unintentional and may be directed toward an individual or group. A bias incident may take the form of a verbal interaction, cyber-interaction, physical interaction, or interaction with property. Bias reporting is a resource for anyone who needs to communicate an incident or explore a better understanding around issues of discrimination and learning how to effectively respond. All members of the university community are encouraged to report incidents of bias.

Academic Program Policies:

For EMU graduate program policies and more CJP-specific graduate program policies, please see the complete graduate catalog.

Criteria for Evaluating Arts-Based Peacebuilding Projects

	CITICITA IOI I	Evaluating Arts-Base	u i caccounting i roj	ccis
CRITERIA	A – Excellent	B – Minimal expectations	C – Below expectations	Comments
Goals & Audience	-audience &	-audience and goals	-audience and goals	
Are the goals or	goals/learning	identified though not as	inappropriate or	
learning objectives	objectives clearly	clearly as they could be	inadequately identified	
of the project clear?	identified.	- project may meet its	madequatery identified	
		1 , ,		
Have they been met?	-project appropriate	goals but this is not	-project unlikely to meet	
Is the intended	for, and likely to	entirely clear	its goals and/or	
audience clearly	meet, its goals	- project is at least	communicate to the	
specified?	-project is	somewhat appropriate	audience	
Is the project	appropriate for	for, and likely to		
appropriate for this	specified audience	communicate to		
audience?	-project	audience.		
Does the project	understandable to &	audionos.		
communicate to the	likely to engage			
intended audience?	and/or communicate			
intended dadience:				
	to audience			
Methodology	-project incorporates	- methodology basically	-methodology	
Is the overall	inquiry methods	appropriate to the	inadequate and/or	
methodology clear	required by the	project and	inadequately articulated.	
and appropriately	assignment	appropriately used, but		
used?	-all methodologies &	could be strengthened	-sources not	
Has the project	technologies have	could be strengthened	appropriately identified	
incorporated specific	been appropriately	, ,	PF-TFacciditation	
methods required by	used, with attention	-sources and methods	-inadequate attention to	
the assignment?	to ethical and	identified but not as fully	implementation issues	
		as they could be	implementation issues	
If intended as a form	methodological			
of intervention, has	issues	-more thought should be		
thought be given to	-if intended as	given to implementation		
how it will be	intervention or	issues		
implemented?	advocacy, project has	issues		
	given adequate			
	thought to			
	implementation			
	-sources & methods			
	are adequately			
	identified			
Analysis	- evidence of critical	- some evidence of	-inadequate evidence of	
Is there evidence of	thinking about	critical thinking but	critical thinking	
critical thinking and	methods, sources,	could be stronger		
analysis?	information and		-analysis lacking or	
	analysis or editing.	-analytical approach and	inadequate	
	-uses analysis/editing		<u> </u>	
	methods appropriate	basically appropriate but	-analytic approach	
	for the project	could be stronger and/or	inappropriate or	
		articulated better.		
	-method of analysis	articulated better.	inadequately specified	
	or editing is			
	adequately			
	articulated			
Craft & Coherence	- level of craft is	-level of craft is	-level of craft inadequate	
Is the level of artistic	clearly adequate for	minimally adequate for	for purposes and/or	
and/or technical	the audience & to	the audience and goals	audience	
craft adequate for	meet project goals		audience	
the specified goals	(whether or not it	-project coherence could		
and audience?	meets "artistic"	be stronger	-project is not coherent	
		be survinger		
Did it involve an	standards)			
appropriate amount	-project is coherent &			
of work?	likely to resonate			
Does the final	with the intended			
product have	audience			
coherence and	-product shows an			
"resonance?"	appropriate amount			
	of effort for this			
	assignment			
				i

Content Is the content appropriate & adequate, given the goals, audience & assignment? Is there evidence of insight, originality &/or creativity?	- information conveyed is clearly adequate for goals, audience & assignment -shows depth & breadth of content -shows insight, originality &/or creativity	-information conveyed is adequate but could be strengthened -some evidence of insight, originality, or creativity	-inadequate information -little or no evidence of insight, originality and/or creativity	
				<u>Grade</u>

Criteria for Evaluating Arts-Based Peacebuilding Projects

Background notes:

- Arts approaches can be used in several different stages of a project:
 - 1. To gain or create knowledge. (For example, research "subjects" or participants might be engaged in an artsbased project as a way of soliciting information or encouraging insight.)
 - To add complexity or nuance to created knowledge. (For example, an arts practice may serve as one
 method in a multi-method research project, creating an integrated, reflective methodology for the project.
 Alternatively, an arts practice could be used to analyze and/or interpret data collected by conventional
 methods.)
 - 3. To test knowledge. (For example, researchers might verify their interpretation of findings from a more traditional research process by creating a play or exhibit and testing it for resonance with their subjects.)
 - 4. To share findings. (For example, a play or exhibit might be created to (re)-present data collected or analyzed via conventional methods in order to impart the particular kinds of meaning the researcher considers important, and as a way to reach and engage a broader audience.)
 - 5. As a form of intervention. (For example, a project might be designed to raise awareness of an issue or conflict, to promote dialogue on a contested issue, or to advocate for a cause.)
- Arts-based products often do not specify methodologies used. Thus it may be important for a project to be accompanied by a short paper discussing analysis, theory of change, audience, goals, and methods used.
- Patricia Leavy, in "Method Meets Art: Arts-based Research Practice" (New York: Guilford Press) 2009, argues that "[t]raditional conceptions of validity and reliability, which developed out of positivism, are inappropriate for evaluating artistic inquiry." (p. 15). She suggests that authenticity, trustworthiness, and validity can be assessed through attention to such elements as aesthetics, resonance, and vigor.
- For a discussion of standards, see "Method Meets Art" (Leavy, 2009: 15ff and Chapter 8).