### **Writing Guidelines:**

Writing will be a factor in evaluation: EMU has adopted a set of <u>writing guidelines</u> for graduate programs that include six sets of criteria: content, structure, rhetoric & style, information literacy, source integrity, and conventions (see page 3). It is expected that graduates will be able to write at least a "good" level with 60% writing at an "excellent" level. For course papers, please follow the APA style, unless otherwise instructed, described in <u>CJP's GUIDELINES for GRADUATE PAPERS</u>. Criteria for Evaluating Arts-Based Peacebuilding Projects can be found at the end of this document.

### **Academic Integrity Policy (AIP):**

EMU faculty and staff care about the integrity of their own work and the work of their students. They create assignments that promote interpretative thinking and work intentionally with students during the learning process. Honesty, trust, fairness, respect, and responsibility are characteristics of a community that is active in loving mercy and doing justice. EMU defines plagiarism as occurring when a person presents as one's own someone else's language, ideas, or other original (not common-knowledge) material without acknowledging its source (Adapted from the Council of Writing Program Administrators). This course will apply <a href="EMU">EMU's Academic Accountability Policy</a> to any events of academic dishonesty.

#### Turnitin:

Students are accountable for the integrity of the work they submit. Thus, you should be familiar with EMU's Academic Integrity Policy (see above) in order to meet the academic expectations concerning appropriate documentation of sources. In addition, EMU uses <u>Turnitin</u>, a learning tool and plagiarism prevention system. For CJP classes, you may be asked to submit your papers to Turnitin from Moodle.

#### Moodle:

<u>Moodle</u> is the online learning platform that EMU has chosen to provide to faculty, administrators and students. Students will have access to course information within Moodle for any class they are registered for in a given term. The amount of time a student has access to information before and after the class is somewhat dependent on the access given to students by the individual faculty member. However, please note that courses are not in Moodle permanently – after two years the class will no longer be accessible. <u>Please be sure to download resources from Moodle that you wish to have ongoing access to.</u>

## **Grading Scale & Feedback:**

*Grades* will be based on an accumulation of numerical points that will be converted to a letter grade at the end of the course. Each assignment will receive a score expressed as a fraction, with the points received over the total points possible (e.g. 18/20). The following is the basic scale used for evaluation. *Points may be subtracted for missed deadlines*. Graduate students are expected to earn A's and B's. A GPA of 3.0 is the minimum requirement for graduation for MA students and a GPA of 2.75 for Graduate Certificate students.

95-100 = A outstanding 90-94 = A- excellent 85-89 = B+ very good

80-84 = B good 76-79 = B- satisfactory 73-75 = C+ passing

70-72 = C unsatisfactory Below 70 = F failing

# **Graduate & Professional Studies Writing Center:**

Please utilize the <u>writing program!</u> They offer free individual tutoring from a graduate student tutor. Please visit the website to schedule an appointment.

#### Institutional Review Board (IRB):

All research conducted by or on EMU faculty, staff or students must be reviewed by the <u>Institutional Review</u> <u>Board</u> to assure participant safety.

### Office of Academic Access:

If you have a physical, psychological, medical or learning disability that may impact your work in this course, you are welcome to speak with the faculty member teaching the course and they can direct you to the appropriate university resources as needed. Or you may contact the <u>Office of Academic Access</u> directly.

### **Course Extensions and Outstanding Grades:**

All SPI 2024 coursework is due by August 12, 2024. If a student will not be able to complete a course on time, the student must submit a request one week before all coursework is due. If the request is granted the student will receive an "I" (incomplete) for the course which will later be replaced by a final grade when the work has been turned in on the agreed upon date (nothing will be retained on the student's permanent record noting that the course was completed after the initial deadline). If the request for an extension is denied, the student will receive a grade for the work that has been completed up until the time the course was expected to have been completed. If no work or not enough work to pass the class has been submitted, the final grade will be an F (or W under unusual circumstances). Extensions will be given only for legitimate and unusual situations. Extensions are contracted by the student with the program for up to a maximum of 6 months after the deadline for the course work. In order to request an extension: please email instructor, academic advisor, and Academic Program Coordinator (Alena Yoder; alena.yoder@emu.edu) with the amount of time requested and reason for request.

PLEASE NOTE: Grades for coursework submitted late may be reduced at the instructor's discretion and in line with their course policy on turning in coursework after the due date. If the extension deadline is not met, the instructor will submit the final grade based on what has been received to date.

Under exceptional circumstances, an additional 6-month extension may be granted by special petition to the Academic Program Coordinator, the student's academic advisor and the instructor of the course. To receive this additional extension, a letter of petition is expected with full rationale for the reason unable to finish by the due date and a practical plan on how the student will finish if this extension is permitted. This must be submitted to the above persons at least one week before the end of the first extension. A student is encouraged to use this only when absolutely necessary.

#### Title IX:

The following <u>Title IX</u> policy applies to any incidents that occur (on or off campus – including online) <u>while you are a student registered at EMU.</u> It does not apply if you are talking about incidents that happened prior your enrollment at EMU. It is important for you to know that all faculty and staff members are required to report known or alleged incidents of sexual violence (including sexual assault, domestic/relationship violence, stalking). That means that faculty and staff members cannot keep information about sexual violence confidential if you share that information with them. For example, if you inform a faculty or staff member of an issue of sexual harassment, sexual assault, or discrimination they will keep the information as private as they can, but is required to bring it to the attention of the institution's Title IX Coordinator. You can also report incidents or complaints through the <u>online portal</u>. You may report, confidentially, incidents of sexual violence if you speak to Counseling Services counselors, Campus Ministries' pastors, or Health Services personnel providing clinical care. These individuals, as well as the Title IX Coordinator, can provide you with information on both internal & external support resources. Please refer to the <u>Graduate & Seminary Student Handbook</u> for additional policies, information, and resources available to you.

## Inclusive, Community-Creating Language Policy:

Eastern Mennonite University expects all its faculty, staff, and students to adopt inclusive written and spoken language that welcomes everyone regardless of race or ethnicity, gender, disabilities, age, and sexual orientation. We will use respectful and welcoming language in all our official departmental documents and correspondence, including those put forth by way of Internet communication, and throughout all academic coursework, inclusive of classroom presentations and conversations, course syllabi, and both written and oral student assessment materials. We recommend that students review a list of best practices for inclusive language.

#### Bias Response:

Bias incidents are harmful to the EMU community and/or individuals within the EMU community. Bias can be intentional or unintentional and may be directed toward an individual or group. A bias incident may take the form of a verbal interaction, cyber-interaction, physical interaction, or interaction with property. Bias reporting is a resource for anyone who needs to communicate an incident or explore a better understanding around issues of discrimination and learning how to effectively respond. All members of the university community are encouraged to report incidents of bias.

#### **Academic Program Policies:**

For EMU graduate program policies and more CJP-specific graduate program policies, please see the complete graduate catalog.

Criteria for Evaluating Arts-Based Peacebuilding Projects

		Evaluating Arts-Base	0 0					
CRITERIA	A – Excellent	B – Minimal expectations	C – Below expectations	Comments				
Goals & Audience	-audience &	-audience and goals	-audience and goals					
Are the goals or	goals/learning	identified though not as	inappropriate or					
learning objectives	objectives clearly	clearly as they could be	inadequately identified					
of the project clear?	identified.	- project may meet its						
Have they been met?	-project appropriate	goals but this is not	-project unlikely to meet					
Is the intended	for, and likely to	entirely clear	its goals and/or					
audience clearly	meet, its goals	- project is at least	communicate to the					
specified?	-project is	somewhat appropriate	audience					
Is the project	appropriate for	for, and likely to						
appropriate for this	specified audience	communicate to						
audience?	-project	audience.						
Does the project	understandable to &							
communicate to the	likely to engage							
intended audience?	and/or communicate							
	to audience							
Methodology	-project incorporates	- methodology basically	-methodology					
Is the overall	inquiry methods	appropriate to the	inadequate and/or					
methodology clear	required by the	project and	inadequately articulated.					
and appropriately	assignment	appropriately used, but						
used?	-all methodologies &	could be strengthened	-sources not					
Has the project	technologies have	Journ De Jui enguieneu	appropriately identified					
incorporated specific	been appropriately	-sources and methods						
methods required by	used, with attention	identified but not as fully	-inadequate attention to					
the assignment?	to ethical and	as they could be	implementation issues					
If intended as a form	methodological	as they could be						
of intervention, has	issues	-more thought should be						
thought be given to	-if intended as	given to implementation						
how it will be	intervention or	issues						
implemented?	advocacy, project has							
	given adequate							
	thought to							
	implementation							
	-sources & methods							
	are adequately							
A 1 '	identified	- some evidence of	. 1					
Analysis	- evidence of critical		-inadequate evidence of					
Is there evidence of critical thinking and	thinking about	critical thinking but	critical thinking					
•	methods, sources, information and	could be stronger	analysis lasking an					
analysis?	analysis or editing.	-analytical approach and	-analysis lacking or inadequate					
	-uses analysis/editing		mauequate					
	methods appropriate	basically appropriate but	-analytic approach					
	for the project	could be stronger and/or	inappropriate or					
	-method of analysis	articulated better.	inadequately specified					
	or editing is							
	adequately							
	articulated							
Craft & Coherence	- level of craft is	-level of craft is	-level of craft inadequate					
Is the level of artistic	clearly adequate for	minimally adequate for	for purposes and/or					
and/or technical	the audience & to	the audience and goals	audience					
craft adequate for	meet project goals		auuiciice					
the specified goals	(whether or not it	-project coherence could	-project is not coherent					
and audience?	meets "artistic"	be stronger	project is not concrent					
Did it involve an	standards)							
appropriate amount	-project is coherent &							
of work?	likely to resonate							
Does the final	with the intended							
product have	audience							
coherence and	-product shows an							
"resonance?"	appropriate amount							
	of effort for this							
	assignment							
Content	- information	-information conveyed is	1 1					
Is the content	conveyed is clearly	adequate but could be	-inadequate information					
	adequate for goals,	strengthened						
appropriate &	upp. op. mos a   adequate for goding   strengthened							

adequate, given the goals, audience & assignment? Is there evidence of insight, originality &/or creativity?	audience & assignment -shows depth & breadth of content -shows insight, originality &/or creativity	-some evidence of insight, originality, or creativity	-little or no evidence of insight, originality and/or creativity	
				<u>Grade</u>

# **Criteria for Evaluating Arts-Based Peacebuilding Projects**

# Background notes:

- Arts approaches can be used in several different stages of a project:
  - 1. To gain or create knowledge. (For example, research "subjects" or participants might be engaged in an arts-based project as a way of soliciting information or encouraging insight.)
  - 2. To add complexity or nuance to created knowledge. (For example, an arts practice may serve as one method in a multi-method research project, creating an integrated, reflective methodology for the project. Alternatively, an arts practice could be used to analyze and/or interpret data collected by conventional methods.)
  - 3. To test knowledge. (For example, researchers might verify their interpretation of findings from a more traditional research process by creating a play or exhibit and testing it for resonance with their subjects.)
  - 4. To share findings. (For example, a play or exhibit might be created to (re)-present data collected or analyzed via conventional methods in order to impart the particular kinds of meaning the researcher considers important, and as a way to reach and engage a broader audience.)
  - 5. As a form of intervention. (For example, a project might be designed to raise awareness of an issue or conflict, to promote dialogue on a contested issue, or to advocate for a cause.)
- Arts-based products often do not specify methodologies used. Thus it may be important for a project to be accompanied by a short paper discussing analysis, theory of change, audience, goals, and methods used.
- Patricia Leavy, in "Method Meets Art: Arts-based Research Practice" (New York: Guilford Press) 2009, argues that "[t]raditional conceptions of validity and reliability, which developed out of positivism, are inappropriate for evaluating artistic inquiry." (p. 15). She suggests that authenticity, trustworthiness, and validity can be assessed through attention to such elements as aesthetics, resonance, and vigor.
- For a discussion of standards, see "Method Meets Art" (Leavy, 2009: 15ff and Chapter 8).